

20030320.ba v03_n467.bam.20030320

>From ???@??? Thu Mar 20 14:01:51 2003 -0600
Message-Id: <200303202001.h2KK1RJD025522@sco.theporch.com>
Date: Thu, 20 Mar 2003 14:01:03 CST
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 3467

BOATANCHORS Digest 3467

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) BOATANCHORS List and HTML
by David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
- 2) Re: BOATANCHORS List and HTML
by Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>
- 3) 950 series Acorn Tubes for Sale
by Kim Herron <kherron@voyager.net>
- 4) Re: BOATANCHORS List and HTML
by John Shriver <jshriver@sockeye.com>
- 5) Bandwidth
by rtg@ee.duke.edu (Rhett T. George)
- 6) Re: Bandwidth
by Benjamin Hall <kd5byb@earthlink.net>
- 7) Keep it at ASCII, please!
by "Herbert M. Rosenthal" <herbrose@comcast.net>
- 8) RE: BOATANCHORS List and HTML
by Morris Odell <Morris0@vifp.monash.edu.au>
- 9) Re: BOATANCHORS List and HTML
by Ralph Parker <rparker@dccnet.com>
- 10) Re: BOATANCHORS List and HTML
by "Brian Goldsmith" <brian.goldsmith@echo1.com.au>
- 11) RE: Keep it at ASCII, please!
by "Bill Hawkins" <bill@iaxs.net>
- 12) RE: Keep it at ASCII, please!
by William Donzelli <aw288@osfn.org>
- 13) Re: Bandwidth
by "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>
- 14) Re: Keep it at ASCII, please!
by "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>
- 15) Re: Bandwidth
by "Nick England" <nick@3rdtech.com>
- 16) Re: Bandwidth
by Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>
- 17) Re: Millen connectors
by Charles <charlesmorris@direcway.com>
- 18) RE: Keep it at ASCII, please!

- by "John K9UWA" <k9uwa@arrl.net>
- 19) RE: Keep it at ASCII, please!
by "Marty's Refl. Drop" <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
- 20) Bells
by "Marty's Refl. Drop" <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
- 21) Question For The Experts
by Liles and Naomi Garcia <landn@easystreet.com>
- 22) RE: Question For The Experts - "StripMime"
by "Mike D." <hrg@cifnet.com>
- 23) Re: Question For The Experts
by Bob Roehrig <broehrig@aurora.edu>
- 24) Re: Bandwidth
by jan@skirrow.org

Message-ID: <3E788792.B04777E4@ix.netcom.com>
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:06:58 -0600
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS List and HTML
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

It appears that a significant number of members are unable to post in plain ASCII. Is there no filter available for theporch.com that will take care of this problem? Half of my latest digest consists of the "this post truncated" thing.

Yes, we can say it's the poster's fault, but that won't solve the problem. The internet isn't just for bit-heads anymore. I can drive my car, but I'd be hard put to repair the engine. Many people can "drive" their email program, but they've no idea how to modify it. Shall we exclude these people, or shall we make the "road" more driver-friendly? D.S.

Message-ID: <3E789619.AFEFB0A4@sympatico.ca>
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 11:08:57 -0500
From: Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: BOATANCHORS List and HTML
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello Colleagues,

Seems to me that the current e-mail filter is too "absolute". Can it be modified to allow the text in the body of the mail to pass but exclude any attachment? This is how it works on a commercial mail reflector such as Yahooogroups. That will certainly go a long way to making things more friendly to folks who post to the list via corporate e-mail systems.

David Stinson wrote:

> It appears that a significant number of
> members are unable to post in plain ASCII.
> I

Regards,
Jerry Proc VE3FAB
Toronto, Ontario
e-mail: jerry.proc@sympatico.ca
<http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/ve3fab>

Message-Id: <5.2.0.9.0.20030319114533.009f1130@mail.voyager.net>
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 11:51:06 -0500
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Kim Herron <kherron@voyager.net>
Subject: 950 series Acorn Tubes for Sale
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hi Gang,

Here's some stuff that the Boatanchor crowd can probably use, but I don't need.
These are Acorn tubes. All are new in the box and I will test them before they leave to be sure that they are good. Here are the numbers and the quantities that I have.

954	35
955	2
956	0 (I don't have any)
957	6
958	5

\$2.00 a piece will get them and if decide to spend more than \$10.00 I'll throw in the shipping. I know that some of these were used in the IFF radios (BC-645), but besides that I have no idea on the application. Let me know if you can use them.

Thanks!!

Kim Herron
1-616-677-3706

 Message-ID: <3E789FF0.8090103@sockeye.com>
 Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 11:50:56 -0500
 From: John Shriver <jshriver@sockeye.com>
 MIME-Version: 1.0
 To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
 CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
 Subject: Re: BOATANCHORS List and HTML
 Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
 Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

David Stinson wrote:

> It appears that a significant number of
 > members are unable to post in plain ASCII.
 > Is there no filter available for theporch.com
 > that will take care of this problem? Half of my
 > latest digest consists of the "this post truncated" thing.
 >
 > Yes, we can say it's the poster's fault, but that won't
 > solve the problem. The internet isn't just for bit-heads
 > anymore. I can drive my car, but I'd be hard put to
 > repair the engine. Many people can "drive" their email program,
 > but they've no idea how to modify it. Shall we exclude
 > these people, or shall we make the "road" more driver-friendly?
 > D.S.

As I have noted before, the attachment filter is faulty in it's logic.

It makes no distinction between multipart/mixed and multipart/alternative. It is censoring the alternative encoding with the "nasty message", when instead it should completely remove the alternative encoding. The reason it only bothers some people when reading is that different mail readers have a different preference for picking what alternative encoding to display. Some choose the one that's censored, others choose the one that isn't.

The behavior of replacing the content is ONLY appropriate to multipart/mixed, which is how you add an attachment.

I have a copy of the script, but I need to learn the Perl scripting language a bit better to be able to fix it. I've glanced at it enough to realize it needs a serious overhaul, as this change will require much more state, possibly even recursion.

(Also just a matter of getting around to it.)

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Bandwidth
Message-Id: <20030319170108.6F3C750B8A@atlantic.ee.duke.edu>
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 12:01:08 -0500 (EST)
From: rtg@ee.duke.edu (Rhett T. George)

- Greetings -

In the good ol' days, if the 6SJ7 did not have enough gain at the desired bandwidth, we would try a 6AC7 or even a 6AG7. Along came new thoughts in signal processing that reduced the need for bandwidth.

Seems to me that the current discussion of opening up the e-mail filter is directly coupled to opening up the bandwidth. Several newsgroups local to the campus send plain (ASCII) text, html text, and pixel-encoded text (maybe MSWORD). A look at the quantity of lines or quantity of characters convinces me that required bandwidth is swelling unconscionably from the first thru the second to the third.

My own vote is to stay with ASCII. If one has something to offer that one feels strongly about, invite readers to make direct requests. [Of course, this is easy for a Linux user to say.]

73 Rhett - KE4HIH

Message-ID: <6434590.1048094721839.JavaMail.nobody@grover.psp.pas.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:25:30 -0600 (CST)
From: Benjamin Hall <kd5byb@earthlink.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Bandwidth
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lt's stay with plain ASCII.

While on my 56k modem I might not notice the time cost of increased bandwidth and I certainly note no increased cost as my Earthlink is flat-fee, this isn't true at The Porch's end.

Phil pays for his bandwidth used like we pay for electricity. Opening up bandwidth to HTML (or whatever) will not result in any more BA-related information being sent out, it just will make Phil's bandwidth meter run faster, as the bandwidth per word increases substantially.

In regards to software packages, if your software package doesn't allow plain-text, replace your software package. There are many, easy to use packages out there, some even free like the Eudora I use at home, that are easily configurable to plain text. If you don't know how to switch it into plain text, there are people here (myself included!) who **will** help you. With the exception of AOL's excuse for an e-mail program, all of them can be made to send plain text.

thanks and 73,
ben

>From the computer of Benjamin Hall.
kd5byb@earthlink.net

Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 11:47:10 -0700
From: "Herbert M. Rosenthal" <herbrose@comcast.net>
Subject: Keep it at ASCII, please!
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-id: <3E78BB2C.5D947289@comcast.net>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

I'll vote to stay with ASCII. If I get an html notice, and if I am curious enough to see what it is, I simply go to the menu (Messenger in Netscape) and VIEW > Page Source, and read the text. I presume this can also be done in other programs.

But I don't do it very often.

Herb Rosenthal W5AN

Message-ID:
<07A064EA6042D4118A62009027F70E773399E3@nt_exchange.vifp.monash.edu.au>
From: Morris Odell <MorrisO@vifp.monash.edu.au>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RE: BOATANCHORS List and HTML
Date: Thu, 20 Mar 2003 07:58:20 +1100
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

I vote for ASCII every time. It makes life much easier and simpler.

Morris VK3DOC

Message-Id: <3.0.5.32.20030319131131.00846cc0@pop3.dccnet.com>
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 13:11:31 -0800
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Ralph Parker <rparker@dccnet.com>
Subject: Re: BOATANCHORS List and HTML
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

>...a significant number of members are unable to post in plain ASCII....
>Shall we exclude these people, or shall we make the "road" more
driver-friendly?

My vote is to keep it in ASCII.
There are may ASCII-friendly e-mail programs available (I use Eudora).
I'm sure Mr. Gates is a great guy, but let's not let him run our reflectors.

Respectfully submitted,
VE7XF

Message-ID: <003e01c2ee60\$9fb64970\$890f8490@virtual>
From: "Brian Goldsmith" <brian.goldsmith@echo1.com.au>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: BOATANCHORS List and HTML
Date: Thu, 20 Mar 2003 08:43:48 +1100
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Without a doubt, plain text please.
Brian Goldsmith.

From: "Bill Hawkins" <bill@iaxs.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RE: Keep it at ASCII, please!
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 16:09:19 -0600
Message-ID: <001101c2ee64\$302ea9e0\$290aa8c0@darius>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Herb Rosenthal W5AN said,

"I'll vote to stay with ASCII. If I get an html notice, and if I am curious enough to see what it is, I simply go to the menu (Messenger in Netscape) and
VIEW > Page Source, and read the text. I presume this can also be done in other programs.

But I don't do it very often."

Sadly, we have two issues here. Nobody wants fancy HTML in a group like this. I have a 56K modem that gets about half that on our old telephone copper wiring. I consider the dancing baloney that you get with HTML (or is it "freedom of expression") to be intrusive and not worth the time it takes to download and get through the virus checker.

OTOH, as our business leaders become more airheaded about technology, they can be swayed by anyone wearing a suit and tie to make HTML the company standard for email. Perhaps a bit of dancing baloney with the corporate logo is always attached, or the lengthy legal disclaimer is only available in HTML. This leaves some of our members caught in an "HTML Only" environment.

Side note: Northwest Airlines has allowed a plain text option for news and offers. Yesterday I got a note saying this would be the last plain text email that I would get from them. All future correspondence will be in HTML. Sigh. What is really useless is the practice of embedding self opening hyperlinks in the mail. I let Outlook get the mail while I do something else, and later read it with no Internet connection.

Possible solution: The MS browsers let you save a web page complete with pictures, or as plain text. If our host had a program that converted any HTML mail to text, leaving pictures behind, then the only problem would be from the few who insist on narcissistic HTML.

OB BA: Did anyone ever make a vacuum tube phase locked loop VLF receiver?

Regards,
Bill Hawkins

Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 17:18:40 -0500 (EST)
From: William Donzelli <aw288@osfn.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RE: Keep it at ASCII, please!
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.3.91-FP.1030319171319.21974B-100000@osfn.org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

> Sadly, we have two issues here.

No we don't...the issue is installing a filter at theporch.com to convert the HTML posts to ASCII. These filters installed on other reflectors work very well, and very few HTML posts get thru with HTML still embedded.

Everyone will still receive Boatanchors in ASCII.

William Donzelli
aw288@osfn.org

Message-ID: <002101c2ee68\$169554d0\$9de47443@KB6NAX>
From: "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Bandwidth
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 14:36:26 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Being a non bit-head myself, I can only observe that the solutions being proposed are worse than the problem. Perhaps someone would like to put up a "help" page for those who are getting their messages disembowled. Phil could alter the "your message got whacked" statment to include a link to the help page where a troubled user could find instructions on how to send plain text only messages. Folks with odd-ball mail clients could contribute their solutions to the help page. After a while it would contain enough varieties of help to whittle the problem down quite a bit. Give Phil a break, you can't get a better deal than this already, IMHO.

Her'e my contribution:

++++++

In Outlook Express, on the new message (the message you are preparing to send) menu bar go to Format and select Plain Text for messages going to the Boatanchors Mail List.

++++++

Arden Allen
KB6NAX
Vallejo, CA
gumbear@pacbell.net

Message-ID: <002c01c2ee68\$b31766e0\$9de47443@KB6NAX>
From: "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Keep it at ASCII, please!
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 14:40:59 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>I let Outlook get the mail while
I do something else, and later read it with no Internet connection.

Yeah, same problem here. I had to turn off automatic dial-up to avoid that
B*&^%\$#\$/T.

Arden Allen
KB6NAX
Vallejo, CA
gumbear@pacbell.net

Message-ID: <01e401c2ee69\$cd5f3220\$fd0212ac@3rdtech.com>
From: "Nick England" <nick@3rdtech.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Bandwidth
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 17:49:30 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Good idea, Arden - I'll volunteer to add this info to the Boatanchors FAQ page.
<http://www.3rdtech.com/nick/rrab.faq.htm>

Here's my contribution - in Outlook Express, open the address book and for this list ("Boatanchors" or "Old Tube Radios"), under the NAME tab, just check the "send plain text only to this address".

Send all your hints to me and I'll make a page for the subject and then we can get Phil to add a pointer.

Nick

nick@3rdtech.com

----- Original Message -----

From: "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>

To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2003 5:36 PM

Subject: Re: Bandwidth

> Being a non bit-head myself, I can only observe that the solutions being
> proposed are worse than the problem. Perhaps someone would like to put up
a
> "help" page for those who are getting their messages disembowled. Phil
> could alter the "your message got whacked" statment to include a link to
the
> help page where a troubled user could find instructions on how to send
plain
> text only messages. Folks with odd-ball mail clients could contribute
their
> solutions to the help page. After a while it would contain enough
varieties
> of help to whittle the problem down quite a bit. Give Phil a break, you
> can't get a better deal than this already, IMHO.
>
> Her'e my contribution:
>
> ++++++
> In Outlook Express, on the new message (the message you are preparing to
> send) menu bar go to Format and select Plain Text for messages going to
the
> Boatanchors Mail List.
> ++++++
>
> Arden Allen
> KB6NAX
> Vallejo, CA
> gumbear@pacbell.net
>

Message-ID: <3E78C7E4.92353540@sympatico.ca>
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 14:41:24 -0500
From: Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Bandwidth
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello Group,

If the Boatanchors filter was opened up for anything other than plain text, that would certainly increase bandwidth usage, but unless I've missed something here I don't see anyone really advocating that. As I mentioned in my last e-mail, the problem is with the filter - period. If the Boatanchors filter can be modified to exclude attachments only instead of the entire message when attachments are detected, that would be the perfect solution. Yahoogroups does it. I have tested it myself by sending formatted text. Their software strips off the formatting but it does pass the message to the recipient in plain text.

Has anyone contacted Phil Porch find out how easy or difficult it would be to modify the Boatanchors filter not to reject the entire message when an attachment is detected? At the user level, there is a plethora of PC platforms, applications, operating systems and a staircase of user skills. Attempting to whip up the user community into a state of conformity in terms of mailers etc..is not a practical approach. Modifying the Boatanchors filter, if it can be done, is the best way to go.

--

Regards,
Jerry Proc VE3FAB
Toronto, Ontario
e-mail: jerry.proc@sympatico.ca
<http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/ve3fab>

From: Charles <charlesmorris@direcway.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Millen connectors
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 19:06:34 -0600
Message-ID: <ss4i7vcn3750sl3uguj29m3d14c0jt3ldi@4ax.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Try <http://www.jamesmillenco.com> or www.jamesmillen.com. They are still in business making (among other things) the HV connectors in several colors. I bought some a year or two ago.

-Charles WB3JOK

On Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:22:30 CST, you wrote:

>To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

>Subject: WTB Millen H.V. connectors

>From: K6KWQ@aol.com

>Date: Tue, 18 Mar 2003 10:08:59 EST

>

>I need several Millen High Voltage connectors, mostly the flange, = chassis=20

>mount type. Any color OK. I prefer inexpensive good used.

From: "John K9UWA" <k9uwa@arrl.net>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2003 23:11:02 -0500

MIME-Version: 1.0

Subject: RE: Keep it at ASCII, please!

Message-ID: <3E78F906.25839.35E0A13D@localhost>

Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Content-description: Mail message body

> I consider the dancing baloney that you

> get with HTML (or is it "freedom of expression") to be intrusive

> and not worth the time it takes to download and get through the

> virus checker.

>

Well Said.... Ditto ... and I have Cable Modem and still don't want to see it in the boatanchors group... if you want to put something up to look at... then make it a Web Site and a URL address... those interested will look the rest will not waste any time on downloading the JUNK ...

John k9uwa

Date: Thu, 20 Mar 2003 11:42:04 -0500 (EST)

From: "Marty's Refl. Drop" <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>

Message-Id: <200303201642.LAA00768@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: RE: Keep it at ASCII, please!

For this reflector I wholeheartedly agree

But our mission is futile for the 'net at large.

Substantially everyone's there for the sizzle & not the steak.

'Way over half the people I know send jokes unceasingly. But there's the rare half-sentence of mis-spellings.

And that half-sentence would more than likely be surrounded by several blinking doodle-bugs & a signature footer of Mary Alice & 200 of her closest friends at the last rally for pigeon safety. 90kb.

The guy from Seattle knows what sells

HEY BUT NOTE. Many here are reading mail in a MIME-sensitive browser (pun). Boy does that munge space-separated columnar date. But there's a solution I use that's 100%. Start the table with <html> & <pre> and end it with </pre> & </html>

The porch unexplainedly misses it.

For example

```
<html>
<pre>
```

```
  1   2   4
  3   5   6
```

```
</pre>
</html>
```

Or w/o markup

```
  1   2   3
  4   5   6
```

See?

Date: Thu, 20 Mar 2003 11:51:01 -0500 (EST)
From: "Marty's Refl. Drop" <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
Message-Id: <200303201651.LAA00810@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Bells

comma Hell's

Specifically I'm hunting 2 24" bells for the GI LS-103 reflex trumpet

These things appear spun-aluminum farm-bells that have had their tops excised. & just below the cut-off are 4 rectangular slots where the driver-holding clamps slip in.

And it turns out, I could use another of those clamp-on driver assy.s

Signed

Hope Springs Eternal Marty

Message-ID: <3E7A151E.70104@easystreet.com>
Date: Thu, 20 Mar 2003 11:23:10 -0800
From: Liles and Naomi Garcia <landn@easystreet.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Question For The Experts
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Good evening Everybody,

I am reading the large amount of messages regarding sending only ASCII messages to our List. I complained about this problem back on February 1 when information on the Classic Exchange was sent to the group. ASCII works for me, and I am all for retaining it. However, if some of our computer experts can figure out a workable filter that would make everybody happy, then that is fine with me also.

On a lighter note, I was at the Rickreal Hamfest out here in Oregon last month, and a fellow receiver collector told me which receiver was the only AC/DC receiver that has a crystal filter in it. Does anyone care to take a short break from the war news and tell us which receiver it is?? Or maybe this fellow is incorrect and there are more than one. Please post to our List so that everybody will know the answer and learn something.

I just thought that I would give you all something to think about to end our work week and move into the weekend.

Regards from Aloha, Oregon,
Liles Garcia
landn@easystreet.com

From: "Mike D." <hrg@cifnet.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RE: Question For The Experts - "StripMime"
Date: Thu, 20 Mar 2003 13:32:44 -0600
Message-ID: <MABBKCNPDJPALIGNIPHIIEIKKNAA.hrg@cifnet.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> I am reading the large amount of messages regarding sending only ASCII
> messages to our List. I complained about this problem back on February
> 1 when information on the Classic Exchange was sent to the group. ASCII
> works for me, and I am all for retaining it. However, if some of our
> computer experts can figure out a workable filter that would make
> everybody happy, then that is fine with me also.

The QTH.NET reflectors use a program called StripMime to strip HTML
formatting from messages, but leave the text.

<http://www.phred.org/~alex/stripmime.html>

73 de Mike, N9BOR
A-1 FISTS MAC SMC ZUT
<http://www.qsl.net/n9bor>

di dah dit - The only Roger Beep you'll ever need.
Let your fingers do the talking -- Morse code.
My designated driver is a 12BY7A.

Date: Thu, 20 Mar 2003 13:51:54 -0600 (CST)
From: Bob Roehrig <broehrig@aurora.edu>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Question For The Experts
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.4.53.0303201343150.74789@mail.aurora.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Thu, 20 Mar 2003, Liles and Naomi Garcia wrote:

> which receiver was the only AC/DC receiver that has a crystal filter in

> it.

Interesting question. I have no answer. I guess there were probably fewer AC/DC sets made than the AC only types. For the most part they were made to be a cheaper priced unit. Since low cost was the object, a xtal filter would be considered too much of an extra. Somewhat related - how many AC/DC sets had S-meters or other tuning indicators?

I know some areas of the U.S. had DC power in the early years. When did they change over to AC?

Bob Roehrig
Aurora University Telecom/IS dept.
broehrig@aurora.edu 73 de K9EUI
630-844-4898 fax 630-844-4222

Message-Id: <5.2.0.9.2.20030320115807.01ee0cc0@mail.islandnet.com>
Date: Thu, 20 Mar 2003 12:00:13 -0800
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: jan@skirrow.org
Subject: Re: Bandwidth
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

I agree with Jerry. I host a list and all that my list server needs to do what Jerry suggests is tick off one box in an options menu. Of course, I don't know if Boatanchor's list server is as easy to configure.

Jan Skirrow, VE7DJX

At 11:41 AM 3/19/03, Jerry Proc wrote:

>Hello Group,

>

>If the Boatanchors filter was opened up for anything other than plain text,
>that would certainly increase bandwidth usage, but unless I've missed
>something here I don't see anyone really advocating that. As I mentioned in my
>last e-mail, the problem is with the filter - period. If the Boatanchors
>filter can be modified to exclude attachments only instead of the entire
>message when attachments are detected, that would be the perfect solution.
>Yahoogroups does it. I have tested it myself by sending formatted text. Their
>software strips off the formatting but it does pass the message to the
>recipient in plain text.

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 3467
